

Some Sharp Concentration Results about Random Planar Triangulations

Zhicheng Gao

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

Carleton University

Ottawa CANADA K1S 5B6

zgao@math.carleton.ca

Nicholas C. Wormald

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

University of Melbourne

Parkville, VIC 3052, AUSTRALIA

nick@ms.unimelb.edu.au

Submap Density Result

Let T_0 be any fixed triangulation, and $\eta_n(T_0)$ be the number of copies of T_0 in a random triangulation with n vertices.

Richmond and Wormald (1988) :

$$\mathbf{P}(\eta_n(T_0) > cn) > 1 - e^{-\delta n}$$

for some positive constants c and δ . (depending on T_0)

Bender, Gao and Richmond (1992) : The above result holds for many families of maps.

Gao and Wormald : $\eta_n(T_0)$ is sharply concentrated around cn for some constant c .

Theorem 1 *Let T_0 be a 3-connected triangulation with $j + 3$ vertices such that there are r distinct ways to root T_0 . Let*

$$c = 2r \left(\frac{27}{256} \right)^j .$$

Then

$$\mathbf{P} (|\eta_n(T_0) - cn| = o(cn)) \rightarrow 1,$$

provided that $cn \rightarrow \infty$.

Define

$$\mu_k = \frac{8(k-2)}{4k^2-1} \left(-\frac{3}{4}\right)^k \binom{-3/2}{k}.$$

Theorem 2 *Let M be a 3-connected near-triangulation with external face of degree k and with j internal vertices such that there are r distinct ways to root the external face. Then, for fixed j, k with $k \geq 4$,*

$$\mathbf{P} \left(\left| \eta_n(M) - r\mu_k \left(\frac{27}{256}\right)^{j-1} n \right| = o(n) \right) \rightarrow 1.$$

A sketch of the proof.

First study the number $\zeta_n(k)$ of vertices of degree k in a random triangulation with $n + 2$ vertices.

- T_n denotes the number of rooted triangulations with $n + 2$ vertices.
- $T_{n,k}$ denotes the number of rooted triangulations with $n + 2$ vertices and root vertex of degree k .
- $T_{n,k,l}$ denotes the number of rooted triangulations with $n + 2$ vertices, root vertex of degree k and another distinguished vertex of degree l .

Step 1 Use combinatorial argument to show

$$E(\zeta_n(k)) = \frac{6n T_{n,k}}{k T_n},$$
$$E(\zeta_n(k)(\zeta_n(k) - 1)) = \frac{6n T_{n,k,k}}{k T_n}.$$

Step 2 Obtain functional equations for the generating functions for $T_{n,k,l}$, $T_{n,k}$ and T_n , and perform singularity analysis.

Step 3 Derive a multivariate version of Flajolet and Odlyzko's transfer theorem, and obtain the following asymptotics :

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_n &= (\sqrt{6}/(32\sqrt{\pi})n^{-5/2}(256/27)^n(1 + O(1/n)), \\
 T_{n,k} &= \frac{k\sqrt{6}}{192\sqrt{\pi}}\mu_k n^{-5/2}(256/27)^n (1 + O(k^{20}/n)), \\
 T_{n,k,k} &= \frac{k\sqrt{6}}{192\sqrt{\pi}}\mu_k^2 n^{-3/2}(256/27)^n (1 + O(k^{20}/n))
 \end{aligned}$$

uniformly for $k = O(\log n)$.

Step 4 Derive asymptotics for the first two moments of $\zeta_n(k)$.

$$\mathbf{E}(\zeta_n(k)) = n\mu_k \left(1 + O\left(k^{20}/n\right)\right),$$

$$\mathbf{V}(\zeta_n(k)) = n\mu_k + (n\mu_k)^2 O\left(k^{20}/n\right),$$

uniformly for all $k = O(\log n)$.

It follows from Chebyshev's inequality that

$$\mathbf{P}(|\zeta_n(k) - \mu_k n| = o(\mu_k n)) \rightarrow 1$$

uniformly for all

$$k < (\log n - (1/2) \log \log n) / \log(4/3) - \Omega(n).$$

Lemma 1 *Let T_0 be a 3-connected triangulation with $j + 3$ vertices such that $j = o(n)$ and there are r distinct ways to root T_0 . Let $\eta_n(T_0)$ be the number of copies of T_0 in a random rooted triangulation with $n + 2$ vertices. Then*

$$\mathbf{E}(\eta_n(T_0)) = r \left(\frac{27}{256} \right)^{j-1} \mathbf{E}(\zeta_{n+1-j}(3))(1 + o(1)),$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E}(\eta_n(T_0)(\eta_n(T_0) - 1)) = r^2 \left(\frac{27}{256} \right)^{2j-2} \\ & \times \mathbf{E}(\zeta_{n+2-2j}(3)(\zeta_{n+2-2j}(3) - 1))(1 + o(1)). \end{aligned}$$

Maximum Vertex Degree

Let Δ_n be the maximum vertex degree of a random map in a family of maps of size n .

Devroye, Flajolet, Hurtado, Noy and Steiger showed that, for triangulations of an n -gon,

$$\mathbf{P} (|\Delta_n - \log n / \log 2| \leq (1 + \epsilon) \log \log n / \log 2) \rightarrow 1$$

Gao and Wormald (to appear in JCT-A) showed

- for triangulations of an n -gon,

$$\mathbf{P} (|\Delta_n - (\log n + \log \log n) / \log 2| \leq \Omega(n)) \rightarrow 1$$

- for 3-connected triangulations of n vertices,

$$\mathbf{P} (|\Delta_n - (\log n - (1/2) \log \log n) / \log(4/3)| \leq \Omega(n)) \rightarrow 1$$

- for all maps of n edges,

$$\mathbf{P} (|\Delta_n - (\log n - (1/2) \log \log n) / \log(6/5)| \leq \Omega(n)) \rightarrow 1$$

Parallel Results about Lattice Walks

Neal Madras recently proved a very nice result about patterns in lattice clusters which is parallel to the submap density results. Let \mathcal{C}_n be a set of lattice clusters of size n , and let P_0 be any fixed pattern. Then there is a positive constant ϵ such that the fraction of clusters that contain less than ϵn copies of P_0 (translations of P_0) is exponentially small.

Madras believes that the number of copies should be sharply concentrated around cn for some positive constant c . (which he calls the law of large numbers)

Other Sharp Concentration Results about Triangulations

An example of diagonal flips and flippable edges. Let ζ_n be the number of flippable edges in a random 2-connected triangulation (3-connected triangulation) of n vertices.

Gao and Wang (to appear in JCT-A): ζ_n is sharply concentrated around $5n/2$ ($9n/4$).

ϵ will denote a small positive constant, ϕ is a constant satisfying $0 < \phi < \pi/2$, and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d)$.

Define

$$\Delta_x(\epsilon, \phi) = \{x : |x| \leq 1 + \epsilon, x \neq 1, |\text{Arg}(x - 1)| \geq \phi\},$$

$$\Delta_j(\epsilon, \phi) = \{y_j : |y_j| \leq 1 + \epsilon, y_j \neq 1, |\text{Arg}(y_j - 1)| \geq \phi\}$$

$$\mathcal{R}(\epsilon, \phi) = \{(x, \mathbf{y}) : |y_j| < 1, 1 \leq j \leq d, x \in \Delta_x(\epsilon, \phi)\}.$$

Let $\beta_j > 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq d$, and α be any real number.

Definition 1. We write

$$f(x, \mathbf{y}) = \tilde{O} \left((1 - x)^{-\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^d (1 - y_j)^{-\beta_j} \right)$$

if there are $\epsilon > 0$ and $0 < \phi < \pi/2$ such that in $\mathcal{R}(\epsilon, \phi)$

(i) $f(x, \mathbf{y})$ is analytic, and

$$f(x, \mathbf{y}) = O \left(|1 - x|^{-\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^d (1 - |y_j|)^{-\beta_j} \right)$$

as $(1 - x)(1 - y_j)^{-p} \rightarrow 0$, for $1 \leq j \leq d$, and some $p \geq 0$.

(ii)

$$f(x, \mathbf{y}) = O \left(|1 - x|^{-\alpha'} \prod_{j=1}^d (1 - |y_j|)^{-q} \right)$$

for some $q \geq 0$ and some real number α' .

Definition 2. We write

$$f(x, \mathbf{y}) \approx c (1 - x)^{-\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^d (1 - y_j)^{-\beta_j}$$

if $f(x, \mathbf{y})$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, \mathbf{y}) = & c(\mathbf{y})(1 - x)^{-\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^d (1 - y_j)^{-\beta_j} \\ & + \sum_{j=0}^d C_j(x, \mathbf{y}) + E(x, \mathbf{y}) \end{aligned}$$

such that

(i) $C_0(x, \mathbf{y})$ is a polynomial in x , and for $1 \leq j \leq d$, $C_j(x, \mathbf{y})$ is a polynomial in y_j .

(ii)

$$E(x, \mathbf{y}) = \tilde{O} \left((1 - x)^{-\alpha'} \prod_{j=1}^d (1 - y_j)^{-\beta'_j} \right),$$

for some $\alpha' < \alpha$ and $\beta'_j \geq 0$, $1 \leq j \leq n$.

(iii) $c(\mathbf{y}) = c + O\left(\sum_{j=1}^d |1 - y_j|\right)$ and is analytic in $\{\mathbf{y} : y_j \in \Delta_j(\epsilon, \phi)\}$, and $c(\mathbf{1}) = c \neq 0$.

Lemma 2 *Suppose*

$$f(x, \mathbf{y}) = \tilde{O} \left((1 - x)^{-\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^d (1 - y_j)^{-\beta_j} \right).$$

Then

(i) *as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $1 \leq k_j = O(\log n)$ ($j = 1, \dots, d$),*

$$[x^n \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{k}}] f(x, \mathbf{y}) = O \left(n^{\alpha-1} \prod_{j=1}^d k_j^{\beta_j} \right);$$

(ii) *for any $0 < \epsilon' < 1$ and all n, k_j ,*

$$[x^n \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{k}}] f(x, \mathbf{y}) = O \left(n^{\alpha-1} \prod_{j=1}^d (1 - \epsilon')^{-k_j} \right).$$

Lemma 3 *Let $d \geq 1$ and*

$$f(x, \mathbf{y}) \approx c (1 - x)^{-\alpha} \prod_{j=1}^d (1 - y_j)^{-\beta_j},$$

where α is neither a negative integer nor 0, and $c \neq 0$. Then as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $k_j = O(\log n)$ ($j = 1, \dots, d$),

$$\begin{aligned} [x^n \mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{k}}] f(x, \mathbf{y}) &= \frac{c}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \prod_{j=1}^d \left(k_j^{\beta_j - 1} / \Gamma(\beta_j) \right) n^{\alpha - 1} \\ &\quad \times \left(1 + O \left(\sum_{j=1}^d (1/k_j) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$